

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/657,961	09/08/2003	Chales Leu	3055		
25859	7590 11/03/20	4	EXAMINER		
WEI TE C	HUNG INTERNATIONAL, I	LEE, GUIYOUNG			
	OREX DRIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SANTA CL	ARA, CA 95050	2875			
			DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	,	Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/657,9	61	LEU ET AL.			
		Examine		Art Unit	(سه		
		Guiyoung	Lee	2875	IR I		
Period for I	The MAILING DATE of this communi Reply	cation appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess		
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the period of the period	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIONS of time may be available under the provisions of time may be available under the provisions of the maximum that the provision of the reply specified above is less than thirty (30 riod for reply is specified above, the maximum state of reply within the set or extended period for reply by received by the Office later than three months all attent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evunication. or days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	rent, however, may a reply be tim tutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comi D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.		
Status							
1)∐ R	esponsive to communication(s) file	d on					
·		tb)⊠ This action is r	non-final.				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition	of Claims						
4a 5)□ Cl 6)⊠ Cl 7)□ Cl	laim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the a) Of the above claim(s) is/are laim(s) is/are allowed. laim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. laim(s) is/are objected to. laim(s) are subject to restrice	e withdrawn from co			·		
Application	Papers			-			
9)□ Th	e specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
10)□ Th	0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Αŗ	oplicant may not request that any object	tion to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Re	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is requi	red if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR	l 1.121(d).		
11) Th	e oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. N	ote the attached Office	Action or form PTO)-152.		
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)⊠ 1. 2. 3.	knowledgment is made of a claim of All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority of Certified copies of the priority of Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation of the attached detailed Office actions.	documents have bee documents have bee of the priority docum nal Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicati ents have been receive le 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Si	tage		
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice o	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or o(s)/Mail Date <u>0903</u> .		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/657,961 Page 2

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-17 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka et al. (US 6,474,826 B1).

Re claims 1-4, 13 and 19-20: Tanaka discloses a planar surface illuminator having a light guide plate comprising an optical input surface (20 in Fig. 1), LEDs (40 in Fig. 25) positioned to a side of the light guide plate, a number of tetrahedron shaped dot-patterns having a same size (15 and 16 in Fig. 25) positioned on and integrated with optical input surface. Tanaka does not disclose a group of at least three scattering dot-patterns, each group having a LED. However, Tanaka teaches that the number of LEDs can be increased as required (col. 7, lines 20-24). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to increase Tanaka's LED to three LEDs in order to improve the uniformity of the luminance. Re claims 5 and 9: Liu discloses the different size of the scattering dot-pattern as set forth in the claim (Paragraph 0022).

Art Unit: 2875

Re claim 6-9: Tanaka discloses that scattering patterns are formed as concave and the dotpatterns have a same size (17 in Fig. 25).

Re claims 10-12: Since claims 10-12 are product-by-process claims, the method limitations that are "injection molding process" or "printing process" are not given patentable weight. Even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." *In re Thorpe, 777 F. 2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed Cir. 1985)*. Re claims 14-15: Tanaka teaches a reflective film on a bottom surface of the light guide plate (col. 9, lines 9-30).

Re claims 16-17. Tanaka discloses a rectangular plane plate of the light guide plate having an optical output surface, a bottom surface, first and second side surfaces, and a third side surface, the bottom surface having a plurality of reflective dot-patterns thereon and the reflective dot-patterns are uniformly spaced on the bottom surface (See 20 in Fig. 1).

4. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Colgan et al. (US 6,648,485 B1). The teachings of Tanaka have been discussed above.

Re claim 18: Tanaka does not disclose that the optical light guide is shaped as a wedge.

However, Colgan teaches a wedge shaped light guide (Fig. 4A). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the planar

Art Unit: 2875

surface illuminator of Tanaka to include the wedge shaped light guide as taught by Colgan in order to provide uniform illumination.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Guiyoung Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-2374. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 571-272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LGY

PRIMARY EXAMINER